

SILVER LAKE COLLEGE
MANITOWOC, WI. 54220
DIVISION: PROFESSIONAL STUDIES
DEPARTMENT: SPECIAL EDUCATION
Educating Professionals for All Learners

Course #: SED 589-001
Course Title: Learners on the Autism Spectrum in the Inclusive Classroom
Credit: 1 OR 2
Term: Summer 2010
Date/Time August 26, 2010
8:00 a.m. -3:30 p.m.
Location: Holiday Inn Select Downtown
811 North 9th Street
St. Louis, MO 63101
314-421-4000
Presenter: Paula Kluth, Ph.D.
Instructor: Sr. Mary Karen Oudeans, Ph.D.

To register for this course, participants also must be registered for the Spectrum Training Systems, Inc. Workshop “The Inclusive Classroom.” Registration for credit will be accepted via U.S. mail up to one week after the workshop date. A “withdraw” from credit is only available until the start date of the course (August 26, 2010). Call (920-686-6157) if a “withdraw” from credit is necessary.

The cost PER CREDIT is \$180.00. Payment is due with this registration form. Credit cost is separate from workshop fee.

Nature of the Course:

Participants who choose to earn credit from Silver Lake College will have the opportunity to extend the knowledge and skills gained in the workshop training “The Inclusive Classroom” to an independent and authentic application of theory to practice within his/her relevant educational and/or professional setting. Evaluation Criteria Checklists for written requirements outline the specific components and content which participants need to include for each requirement. It is the student’s responsibility to determine whether s/he has the prerequisite knowledge and skills to complete the credit option requirements.

Workshop Content Description:

Participants in this workshop will explore practical ways of supporting students with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) and other significant disabilities in an inclusive general education setting. The purpose of the workshop is to move beyond participation and inclusion to recognizing the learner with disabilities as a complex, but capable learner whom educational professionals can effectively and sensitively support in the inclusive classroom. Topics include defining and re-defining autism, creating more responsive lessons, and making the classroom comfortable with many opportunities for communication skill development. Strategies include using sensory supports and visuals, and creating “safe space,” and materials that capitalize on learner strengths and promote active learning.

Objectives of the course:

Participants who choose to complete the independent and authentic application of theory to practice for one (1) **OR** two (2) graduate credit/s will demonstrate the following knowledge and skills related to learners on the autism spectrum and with other significant disabilities.

One (1) Graduate Credit Course Objectives

1. Define the term Autism Spectrum Disorder.
2. Identify the instructional, communication, and social challenges for learners on the autism spectrum in the inclusive classroom.

3. Identify and analyze curricular and instructional areas and activities that may need adaptation/s for learners on autism spectrum in order to meet the everyday academic, emotional, and environmental challenges in the inclusive classroom.
4. List and describe ways that adaptations make a difference between presence and participation and being a capable learner in an inclusive classroom.
5. Describe instructional, communication, and social strategies that facilitate the success of all learners.
6. Describe how student strengths contribute to planning curricular, instruction, communication, and social development in an inclusive classroom.
7. Identify rationale statements for using differentiated instruction and instructional supports will assist learners with disabilities access academics and social opportunities in the inclusive classroom.
8. Analyze, compare and contrast current research and best practice in the area of curricular and instructional adaptations for supporting and teaching students on the autism spectrum in an inclusive classroom.

Two (2) Graduate Credit Course Objectives:

Objectives 1-8 above, **PLUS**

9. Assess learner knowledge and skill level in one (1) of the following areas—academic, communication, or social interaction skill addressed in the workshop.
10. Summarize assessment data and information.
11. Develop an instructional intervention plan for 1-2 weeks (e.g., 5-10 instructional days), which uses one of the strategy options from the workshop to develop or enhance the academic, communication, or social interaction skill identified and assessed in Objective #9.
12. Implement the instructional/intervention plan with the learner for 2 weeks.
13. Collect daily data on learner performance (progress) in acquiring or performing (using) the skill
14. Analyze and evaluate learner progress data and indicate any instructional/intervention changes that need to be made based on the learner data.

General Requirements

Attendance and participation in all sessions of the Spectrum Training Systems Inc workshop activities **is mandatory for both the one-(1) credit AND two-(2) credit options.**

General Directions for credit options:

- It is the student's responsibility to determine whether s/he has the prerequisite knowledge and skills to complete the credit requirements.
- Requirements #1 and #2 must be word processed/typed.
- Requirement # 3 must be word processed with the exception of data in charts, graphs, and actual assessment protocols etc. which do not need to be computer-generated.
- Graduate quality work (e.g., critical analysis, synthesis, evaluation, and professional writing skill) is expected for all requirements.
- **An Evaluation Criteria Checklist for each requirement is found at the end of this syllabus.**
 - Use the Evaluation Checklist as a guide to the components and specific content which must be included for successful completion of each indicated requirement.
 - The content and information from the workshop and additional outside research on specific topics related to your project choices should be used as you prepare your requirements for submission.
 - Attach the correct Evaluation Criteria Checklist found at the end of this syllabus to each completed requirement prior to submitting for evaluation and feedback.

One (1)-Credit Requirements

- Write a brief biographical sketch of your professional education, experience and current professional position.
- Complete Requirement #1
- Complete Requirement #2

Two (2)-Credit Requirements

- Write a brief biographical sketch of your professional experience and current /professional position.
- Complete Requirement #3

General Descriptions of Credit Requirements—Evaluation Criteria Checklist for each requirement is found at the end of this syllabus and contain more specific information.

Requirement #1 (1-credit option only) – Key Concepts, New Learning & Application (Summary, synthesis, application) (100 points)

This requirement involves summarizing the key concepts addressed in the workshop. The content of the key concepts summary includes synthesis of workshop information, identification of new knowledge and skills or extension of knowledge and skills, and authentic application to relevant educational or professional setting. The outline below (a-c) delineates the topic headings for Requirement # 1.

Please identify each section as indicated below:

PART A: Summarize 8-10 key concepts (knowledge and skills) from the workshop.

PART B: Identify (in paragraph form) new knowledge and skills or the extension of prior knowledge and skills for each of the summarized key concepts in Part A.

PART C: Apply, analyze, evaluate the above new knowledge and skills or extension of prior knowledge and skills for each of the identified concepts to your educational and/or professional setting (i.e., how and/or when you can use the knowledge & skills, etc., usefulness of the knowledge and skills to you professionally (i.e., what information was most helpful, interesting, and why the knowledge and skills will be helpful to you. Be specific. Give examples.

Requirement #2 (1-credit option only)-Journal Article Critique (100 points)

- a. Read a professional journal article which addresses best practice research relative to content of workshop.
- b. Write a brief summary of the article (3-4 paragraphs).
- c. Critique the article using Evaluation Criteria Checklist Form for content.
- d. Give specific examples of how you can/will incorporate these best practice strategies into your program planning and professional roles and responsibilities.

Requirement # 3 (2-credit option only) Authentic Application of Theory to Practice (200 points)

PART A:

- 1) Assess learner knowledge and skill level in one (1) of the following areas—academic, communication, or social interaction skill (e.g., social rules) addressed in the workshop.
- 2) Observe the identified learner using the academic, communication, or social interaction skill identified above in an in an inclusive classroom environment
- 3) Summarize assessment data and information.

PART B:

- 4) Develop an instructional intervention plan for 1-2 weeks (e.g., 5-10 instructional days), which uses one of the strategy options from the workshop to acquire or enhance the academic, communication, or social interaction skill **Be sure that the instructional goal/objective is written in observable and measurable terms and includes specific behavior (skill), conditions, criteria of acceptable performance, and # of consecutive demonstrations (use) of the skill so that you can collect data on student performance; clearly identify and describe the strategy that will be used during instruction.**
- 5) Implement the instructional plan for 1-2 weeks (e.g., 5-10 instructional days) with the identified learner.

PART C

- 6) Collect daily performance data on learner (progress) in acquiring, developing proficiency, maintenance, or generalization of the academic, communication, or social interaction skill being taught each day to make progress toward the “end” goal.
- 7) Analyze and evaluate learner progress data and indicate any instructional/intervention changes that need to be made based on the learner data.

Graduate Evaluation of Requirements

Grade	Percentage	PR/LL	Achievement
A	97% - 100%	PR 4/LL 4	Excellent
A/B	92% - 96%	PR 4/LL 4	Excellent
B	89% - 91%	PR 4/LL 3	Satisfactory
B/C	84% - 88%	PR 3/LL 3	Need for Improvement
C	80% - 83%	PR 3/LL 2	Unsatisfactory for Graduate Level
F	Below 80%	PR 2/LL 1-2	Unsatisfactory for Graduate Level

Performance Rating (PR) - Level of Learning (LL)

Use the following code to designate the OVERALL performance rating (PR) for each competency area:
4 - Proficient - consistent application of knowledge, skill or disposition at this level.
3 - Developing - progress in the application of knowledge, skill or disposition at this level
2 - Emerging - limited application of knowledge, skill or disposition at this level
1 - Not Present -competency not addressed

Expected Level of Learning (ELL) for each competency	Expected Level of Disposition (ELD) (Professional Behavior)
1-2 Knowledge Level: Remembering an idea or fact Comprehension Level: Demonstrating an understanding of an idea or fact in a form close to the way it was presented	1-2 Receiving Level: Receiving new knowledge, skill or disposition Responding Level: Participation in and compliance with requirements
2 Comprehension Level Application Level: Applying the fact to a real or simulated situation	2 Valuing Level: Involvement in or commitment to new knowledge, skill or disposition
3 Application Level Analysis Level: Analyzing the parts, patterns or organization	3 Organizing Level: Integrating new knowledge, skill, disposition with the existing organization of knowledge, skill, disposition at a high level of priority and advocacy
4 Synthesis Level: Putting the parts together to form a whole; Combining information into patterns and structures Evaluation Level: Making judgments about the value of ideas, methods or materials with rationale for judgment	4 Internalizing Level: Internalizing new knowledge, skill, disposition so that it consistently characterizes thought and action

Due Dates for Submission of Requirements:

Course requirements must be submitted according to the dates indicated in the table below. To facilitate authentic application of theory to practice, timelines for submission of the 2-credit requirement is extended.

Workshop Dates	1-credit Submission Date	2-credit Submission Date	Grades Available
August 26, 2010	September 27, 2010	November 8, 2010	End November (1-credit) End January (2-credit)

1. A team of educators who represent varied expertise areas evaluate the credit requirements.
2. If you wish to have your completed requirements returned to you, enclose a self-addressed, pre-weighed and stamped envelope with your requirements and they will be returned to you with feedback.
3. Send via U. S. Postal Service, Federal Express, etc. completed requirements, with correct Evaluation Criteria Checklist form attached to:

Sister Mary Karen Oudeans, Ph.D.
Silver Lake College
2406 S. Alverno Road
Manitowoc, WI 54220

1. Requirements must be received at Silver Lake College by the indicated due date.
2. Grades will be available online on CampusWeb at <http://www.sl.edu>: Campus Web access information will be mailed to you.
3. Request and application form for an "official" academic transcript for this course is available at <http://www.sl.edu/registrar.asp>

Questions should be directed to Sister Mary Karen Oudeans, Ph.D. (920-686-6157 or 1-800-236-4SLC Ext. 157).

Evaluation Criteria Checklist
Requirement #1: Key Concepts, New Learning & Application (1-credit only)
Learners on the Autism Spectrum in the Inclusive Classroom
SED 589-001 (August 26, 2010)

Name _____ Workshop Date Attended _____

Criterion	Points
General Information <input type="checkbox"/> Date of Workshop <input type="checkbox"/> Topic of Workshop	None
PART A Key Concepts <input type="checkbox"/> Summarized 8-10 key concepts from workshop topics <input type="checkbox"/> Concepts corresponded with Objectives 1-8 (Refer to course syllabus) Comments:	_____/30
PART B New or Extended Knowledge & Skills Evidence of: <input type="checkbox"/> New or extended knowledge & skills identified for <u>each</u> concept identified in PART A <input type="checkbox"/> Linkages between new/extended knowledge & skills and <u>each</u> concept identified in PART A Comments:	_____/25
PART C Critical Analysis, Application, and Evaluation of New or Extended Knowledge & Skills to Professional Roles and Responsibilities Evidence of: <input type="checkbox"/> Critical analysis statements for <u>each</u> of the key concepts regarding new/extended knowledge & skills (e.g., compared, contrasted workshop information with other sources, prior knowledge, experience, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluative statements regarding application, usefulness, etc. of the new/extended knowledge & skills <input type="checkbox"/> Personal/professional growth statements regarding new/extended knowledge & skills <input type="checkbox"/> Gave specific examples of application and usefulness to educational or relevant professional setting <input type="checkbox"/> Evidence of critical thinking (e.g., synthesis, analysis, and evaluation statements) Comments:	_____/30
Professional Format (Overall) <input type="checkbox"/> Correct grammatical structure <input type="checkbox"/> Accurate punctuation, spelling <input type="checkbox"/> Introduction, main ideas, supporting details, transition <input type="checkbox"/> Clear summary and evaluative statements <input type="checkbox"/> Conclusion statements <input type="checkbox"/> Graduate quality professional writing Comments:	_____/15
Total Points _____/100	

General Comments:

Evaluation Criteria Checklist
Requirement #2: Journal Article Analysis & Critique (1-credit only)
Learners on the Autism Spectrum in the Inclusive Classroom
SED 589-001 (August 26, 2010)

Name _____ Workshop Date Attended _____

Criterion Checklist	Points
---------------------	--------

Reference/s & Bibliographic Information

- Followed American Psychological Association format (APA) _____/5
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/APA_style
 - Complete citation
 - Accurate

Comments:

Content Summary _____/30

- Addressed topics of workshop
- Summarized main idea/s which described the article content, methods, strategy, etc

Comments:

Critical Analysis, Synthesis, and Evaluation _____/45

- Provided critical analysis and evaluative statements—such as--
 - Comparing/contrasting points in article with information from workshop, professional experience, etc. (e.g., varying perspectives concerning content, application, conclusions, etc.)
 - Describing strong/weak points of the article
 - Identifying and describing cautions, concerns, and benefits of information.
- Integrated concepts from workshop, professional experience, etc.
- Provided evidence of critical analysis and evaluation for application and implementation in personal educational or professional setting
- Gave specific examples of application and implementation
- Demonstrated overall quality and depth of analysis, synthesis, and evaluation throughout critique.

Comments:

Professional Quality _____/20

Evidence of overall professional quality:

- Organization (Introduction, main ideas, supporting details, logical, sequential development of ideas, conclusion etc.)
- Correct grammatical structure, punctuation, spelling, etc.
- Graduate quality professional writing
- Neatness

Comments:

Total Points _____/100

General Comments:

Evaluation Criteria Checklist
Requirement 3: Authentic Application of Theory to Practice (2-credits only)
Learners on the Autism Spectrum in the Inclusive Classroom
SED 589-001 (August 26, 2010)

Name _____ Workshop Date Attended _____

Criterion	Points
PART A: Assessment Component	
<input type="checkbox"/> Provided basic background information on learner	
<input type="checkbox"/> Identified one (1) academic, communication, or social interaction skill to assess and document	_____/5
<input type="checkbox"/> Direct Observation of any learner behavior (minimum of 2 observations) approximately 15-30 minutes each <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Date, time, description of environment/ o Setting event, Antecedent, Behavior, Maintaining Consequence/s 	
<input type="checkbox"/> Included completed standardized assessment/s protocols (if applicable) with identifying information omitted—provided rationale, brief description of assessment	
<input type="checkbox"/> Included completed Informal (e.g., Curriculum-based, teacher-made, etc) protocol/s with identifying information omitted—provided rationale, brief description of assessment	_____/20
<input type="checkbox"/> Summarized data/information for learner from <u>each</u> assessment included <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Name of assessment o Purpose of assessment o Objective statements of results for each assessment o Learner’s Present Level of Performance (PLOP) on skill/s assessed o Chart and graph of data results (e.g., direct observations of skill use, curriculum-based assessment, etc.) o Statement of individual strengths and areas of need based on assessment data o Patterns of behaviors observed based on assessment (e.g., academic, behavior, communication needs, etc.) 	_____/20
	_____/45
Total Points for Section	
PART B: Instructional Plan	
<input type="checkbox"/> Stated long-range goal for academic, behavior, communication, social interaction skill that can be accomplished within 1 week of instruction and will assist learner to meet the expectations of the inclusive classroom <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Used assessment data (e.g., areas of need, results of direct observations, informal assessments, etc.) as basis for instructional goal o Wrote statement of long-range goal (observable & measurable terms with stated conditions, criteria, consecutive times) 	_____/10
<input type="checkbox"/> Outlined 5-10 days of individual instructional plans which teaches the skill/s needed for attaining the long-range goal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Wrote daily objective for lesson (Learner behavior stated in observable & measurable terms, specific conditions, and criterion of acceptable performance for each lesson o Sequenced lessons so each day student moved closer to meeting long-range goal o Described the strategy from the workshop/s used for instruction to teach learner the skill 	_____/50
<input type="checkbox"/> Implemented plan <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Taught daily lesson for student acquisition, proficiency, maintenance, or generalization of the identified academic, communication, social interaction skill o Kept data on learner performance (e.g., data chart, graph, etc.) o Wrote a brief evaluation of each daily lesson instructional session 	_____/25
	_____/85
Total Points for Section	
PART C: Data and Instructional Decisions	
<input type="checkbox"/> Summarized learner performance data for each daily lesson <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Used correct chart conventions (match between chart data and skill; accurate) o Used correct graphing conventions 	_____/25
<input type="checkbox"/> Provided analysis of client/student performance data <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Summarized overall performance toward meeting long-range goal o Described instructional decisions based on performance data with rationale for decisions 	_____/25
	_____/50
Total Points for Section	
Overall Graduate quality (i.e., evidence of critical thinking (analysis, synthesis, evaluation) & professional writing	_____/20
	Overall Total Points ____/200